



CABINET OFFICE

70 Whitehall, London SWIA 2AS Telephone 01-233

7665

10 June 1983

Dear Private Secretary

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMME 1983-84

In April the Cabinet provisionally agreed a legislative programme for 1983-84, which had been prepared by The Queen's Speeches and Future Legislation Committee on the basis of proposals put forward in response to my letter of 13 December 1982. Ministers will now wish to give fresh and urgent consideration to the legislative programme as a whole, taking account also of Bills which fell at the Dissolution in May, and Bills the need for which has only recently been identified.

- 2. I am now, therefore, writing to ask whether your Minister has any additional proposals for legislation in the 1983-84 Session of Parliament. The legislative programme will be prepared on the assumption that the Session will continue until the Summer of 1984.
- 3. I would be grateful if you could let me have four copies of your Department's summary of proposals for Bills, set out in the form at Annex A. Please could you also let me have, for each new Bill proposed, four copies of the form at Annex B. I enclose notes for guidance on the completion of the forms, and we should be grateful if you would follow these closely.
- 4. I should be grateful to receive replies by close of play on Thursday 16 June.

Yours sincerely

fland Lumi-flans.

JANET A LEWIS-JONES

The Private Secretary to Prime Minister

ANNEX A

DEPARTMENT'S BILLS PROPOSED FOR THE LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMME 1983-84

Please list each Bill in its proposed category of essential, programme, contingent or other, and in its order of priority within that category.

CATEGORY (essential, contingent etc)

TITLE OF BILL

LENGTH

ANNEX B

OUTLINE FORM FOR EACH BILL PROPOSED FOR 1983-84 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMME

- 1. DEPARTMENT
- 2. TITLE OF BILL
- 3. LENGTH OF BILL
- 4. PURPOSE OF BILL
- 5. PROPOSED CATEGORY (ESSENTIAL, CONTINGENT, ETC)
- 6. DEPARTMENTAL PRIORITY
- 7. STATE OF READINESS
- 8. TIMING
- 9. PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE
- 10. THE POLITICAL DIMENSION
- 11. PUBLIC EXPENDITURE AND MANPOWER IMPLICATIONS
- 12. EUROPEAN COMMUNITY (EC) IMPLICATIONS

NOTES ON COMPLETING THE FORMS FOR EACH BILL PROPOSED FOR 1983-84 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMME

- 1. DEPARTMENT
- 2. TITLE OF BILL

3. LENGTH OF BILL

An estimate of the length of the Bill is needed so that the demands on drafting capacity and Parliamentary time can be assessed at the earliest possible stage. An accurate forecast of the number of clauses and schedules will not normally be possible, but some indication such as "very short" (not more than 3-4 clauses), "short" (up to 12 clauses), "medium" (12-25 clauses), "substantial" (25-50 clauses), or "long" (over 50 clauses), would be useful. Where a Bill would cover more than one distinct topic, please give some indication of what proportion of the Bill would be devoted to each topic.

4. PURPOSE OF BILL

Please list the various topics in the Bill (with a brief indication of the purpose of each). The list should cover <u>all</u> the topics likely to be included in the Bill. There is likely to be resistance by the business managers and other members of Legislation Committee to substantial additions at a later stage to the Bill as described in the form.

5. PROPOSED CATEGORY (ESSENTIAL, CONTINGENT, ETC)
Where a Bill would cover more than one distinct topic, the appropriate
category should be indicated separately for each topic.

The categories for proposed Bills are -

I <u>Essential</u>. Bills which <u>must</u> be enacted during 1983-84 - eg because existing <u>powers</u> or <u>finance</u> would otherwise expire or because of <u>treaty</u> obligations. Please give the reason(s). This category should not be used

simply to reflect a high political priority. Additional non-essential items can sometimes be included in an essential Bill, but consideration will need to be given to the length of the Bill and to the need to avoid controversial provisions which might affect the Bill's enactment by the required date.

II <u>Contingent</u>. Bills which <u>might</u> during 1983-84 become <u>Essential</u> as defined above.

III <u>Programme</u>. Bills which can already be identified as being desirable and likely to be ready for enactment during 1983-84. The reasons for enacting the Bill in 1983-84 should be stated and any specific disadvantage in delay made clear. (See also 10 below).

IV Other. Bills which do not have sufficient priority for the Programme category but which there would be advantage in enacting in 1983-84 if Parliamentary time could be found. Any which might be suitable for a Private Member should be separately identified.

6. DEPARTMENT PRIORITY

Please mark each of your bids for legislation with the strict order of priority within each category.

7. STATE OF READINESS

We need to have the best possible estimates of the date by which -

- a. Ministers' collective <u>policy clearance</u> will be sought (ie from the appropriate Ministerial Cabinet Committee). Please indicate specifically those policy areas which remain to be settled or on which policy decisions may be protracted;
- b. complete instructions will be ready for Parliamentary Counsel;
- c. the Bill is expected to be ready for introduction.

It is important to have accurate estimates in order to plan for the best use of Parliamentary time. Over-optimistic timetables are unhelpful all round. Please be as specific as you can, eg indicating, where possible, "early", "mid" or "late" when naming a month. In cases of doubt, earliest and latest dates for each stage of the Bill's preparation should be given. Account should be taken of Parliamentary Counsel's absence on leave (normally the whole of August).

8. TIMING

Please give, with reasons, the date by which Royal Assent is needed for Essential and Contingent Bills and target dates, if any, for the enactment of Bills in other categories. It would be helpful to distinguish between Bills for which early Royal Assent is <u>desirable</u> and those for which Royal Assent by a certain date is likely to be <u>essential</u>, eg because borrowing limits will otherwise be exceeded.

9. PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE

A Bill may be suitable for special forms of Parliamentary procedure. Please state whether it might be suitable for any of the following -

- a. <u>Second Reading Committee</u> procedure in the Commons that is, the Bill is likely to be accepted on all sides of the House as uncontroversial and of little or no political significance;
- b. Special Standing Committee Procedure that is, consideration by a Standing Committee empowered to hold up to three evidence taking sessions within a limited period before detailed consideration of the Bill. Would the Bill be a suitable candidate for this procedure? Bills for Special Standing Committee Procedure should be of some significance, but should not be controversial in a party political sense;
- Scottish or Welsh Grand Committee procedure in the Commons;

d. Offering to a Private Member successful in the ballot - that is, short, simple, non-constitutional, non-controversial in party political terms and without significant financial implications;

e. Lords introduction

If it is known that a Bill will be hybrid, please say so.

10. POLITICAL ASPECTS

Please state whether any firm public commitments have been given by the Government about the Bill's introduction or timing. Please give a clear indication of the Bill's likely controversiality, and cover briefly -

- its likely reception in the House;
- whether there is pressure from groups representing particular interests;
- whether it will be controversial politically or for any other reasons;
- whether it will appeal to or be strongly opposed by any particular sections of the community;
- what the attitude of the official Opposition to it will be;
- whether it will arouse particular interest in the House of Lords.

11. PUBLIC EXPENDITURE AND MANPOWER IMPLICATIONS

Please indicate the effect on central and local government expenditure and manpower of the proposed Bill for the PESC period, and whether PESC provision has been made for any necessary expenditure. Any separate implications for the Public Sector Borrowing Requirement (PSBR) should also be mentioned, especially if they affect the date by which Royal Assent is required (see 8 above).

12. EUROPEAN COMMUNITY (EC) IMPLICATIONS

Please say whether the Bill is required to fulfil any European Community (EC) commitments. If so, any relevant timing considerations should be mentioned under 8 above.

11 JUN 1985